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Reviewer's report:

Please number your comments and divide them into
- Major Compulsory Revisions
  nil
- Minor Essential Revisions
  nil
- Discretionary Revisions
  nil

What next?
-------

Based on your assessment of the validity of the manuscript, what do you advise should be the next step?
- Accept without revision

Level of interest
--------------

BMC Family Practice has a policy of publishing all scientifically sound research whatever its level of interest. However if you choose one of the first three categories below, we may ask the authors if they would like the manuscript considered instead for the more selective journal BMC Medicine.

- An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English
As we do not charge for access to published research, we cannot undertake the costs of editing. If the language is a serious impediment to understanding, you should choose the first option below, and we will ask the authors to seek help. If the language is generally acceptable but has specific problems, some or all of which you have noted, choose the second option.

- Acceptable

Statistical review

-------------------

Is it essential that this manuscript be seen by an expert statistician?
If you feel that the manuscript needs to be seen by a statistician, but are unable to assess it yourself then please could you suggest alternative experts in your confidential comments to the editors.

- No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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