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Reviewer’s report:

General
The authors have addressed my comments in the new version of their paper although I still have a few small comments. These are listed below under the headings used by Biomed Central.

Major Compulsory Revisions
None.

Minor Essential Revisions

Background
Page 3, third paragraph: The introduction of “Diffusion of Innovations” comes very suddenly, which makes it hard to see why the authors mention it. It is good to raise this here but I think there should be at least one sentence that makes it easier for the reader to see why the authors bring it up.

Methods
Page 4, Guideline and the e-learning programme: “..using slides with speak..” should be “..using slides with audio..”.

Page 5, Internet based pop up questionnaires: The authors talk about two questionnaires before actually saying that there were two. I think the authors should start the third sentence in this section “There were two questionnaires both of which were tested for face validity..” “letting five GPs filled..” should be “letting five GPs fill..” “..should be completed at subsequent logons..” should be “..should be completed at all subsequent logons.

Results
Page 7. “..about the e-learning programme from one sources, whereas the remaining (24) had gained information from two or more different source.” should be “..about the e-learning programme from one SOURCE, whereas the remaining (24) had gained information from two or more different SOURCES.”

Discussion
Page 9, first paragraph. The last sentence should be reworded along the lines of “The ELP may not have managed to consider all these barriers.”
Discretionary Revisions
None

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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