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Reviewer's report:

The authors have done a good job at modifying the manuscript to address the reviewers' suggestions.

Minor Essential Revisions

1. In the results section of the abstract, the first sentence says that "high-referring clinicians more often reported having a method of identifying smoking patients". However, the results section of the manuscript says "Across both groups, clinicians reported being satisfied with their process for identification of tobacco use". I could not find anything in the manuscript to support the statement in the abstract, so either the abstract or the results section needs to be modified.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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