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Dear Dr. Chrissie Kouremenou,

We have tried to address the remaining formatting requests to further facilitate the online production of our article. We did not make any textual changes apart from those suggested by the editorial board, but for one exception: instead of p<0.001 in the abstract we have now used p<0.01, since one of the p-values after the multivariate correction was 0.002!

I would like to point out a few last details:

- Following your requests, we have now placed a ‘Figure legend’ right after the References. However, we would appreciate it, if Figure 1 could be positioned after the Tables 1 and 2 in the overall lay-out of the article.
- We think that taking the mentioned footnote on the multivariate adjustments to the end of the ‘Results’ paragraph is fine.
- It was not necessary to write a new ‘Conclusions’ section at the end of the Discussion paragraph, as the text already contained such a conclusion. We therefore only added ‘Conclusion’ just above this section.
- We think that the list of authors as it appears in the text of the paper is correct in its current form (identical to previous one).

We thank you for your useful remarks and hope the current version will meet the required standards.

We look forward to receiving your reply.
On behalf of my co-authors,
Yours sincerely,

Eric P. Moll van Charante, GP, PhD