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**Reviewer's report:**

General
This paper deals with an important topic in the Swedish health care system. The qualitative method used is appropriate and the data is interesting. Discussion and conclusion are well balanced.
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**Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)**
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**Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)**

The format of tables have to be improved
Reference 3 should be Norlund A (page 15)
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**Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)**

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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