Dear Dr. Kouremnou,

RE: MS: 3112958571175242 - General Practitioners involvement in Enteral Tube Feeding at home: a qualitative study

Thank you for sending our manuscript out for peer review and we were very pleased with the positive responses received from both reviewers. Some minor reservations on which reassurance are sought are dealt with below in a point-by-point manner as follows:

Reviewer 1: Stephen Barclay

1. The abstract has been from purposeful to convenience sample.
2. Six of the doctors could not be facilitated in the research project. A number of different time slots were offered but did not suit the GPs and as they were not being offered any financial reward.
3. This Study was part of a bigger educational intervention. A study was also conducted at the same time with district nurses and nursing home nurses and we would hope to submit the results of the research for peer review in the future.
4. We have amended the discussion to include some information about the review processes in Northern Ireland and some areas in the UK.
Reviewer 2: Donald R. Duerksen

1. We have included a table in the manuscript of demographic information about the GPs who participated in the research.

2. We have also amended the discussion to include the fact that patient numbers will usually be small and that education should be offered at each discharge.

3. Selection bias has also been mentioned in the discussion.

We hope that these amendments address the minor concerns that the reviewers had and we look forward to hearing from you in the near future.

Yours sincerely

Sharon Madigan PhD
Corresponding Author