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**Author's response to reviews:**

1. The table originally known as Table 1 has been amended to provide both "n" and %.
2. Authors' qualifications have been removed from the manuscript and were not in the submissions system that I could find.
3. The full stop has been removed from the end of the title.
4. The country has been included in the affiliation details.
5. Statement of funding has been removed from the title page and is in the Acknowledgements section.
6. The word count has been removed from the title page.
7. The Introduction has been renamed Background.
8. The Implications section of the Discussion has been renamed Conclusions.
9. the acknowledgements section has been moved to after the Authors' contributions.
10. The statement "the authors declare they have no competing interests" has been added.
11. The apostrophe has been added to Authors' Contributions.
12. The Appendix has been relabelled as a Table (Table 2), and the reference in the text amended.
13. Box 1 has been relabelled as Table 1, and the reference in the text amended.
14. Appendix 1 (now Table 2) has different headings on the 2nd page, so these are necessary and have not been removed. However the table title has been removed from the 2nd page.
15. as a result of the amendments to the Appendix and Box, the other tables have been renumbered, and the text amended appropriately.