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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

Thank you for the review of our work. We found the comments of the reviewers very useful and that we feel their views have helped to improve the paper. In the interest of brevity we have tried not to add significantly to the length of the paper.

The changes made to the manuscript following comments of the reviewers are detailed below. The page paragraph and reference has been included for the convenience of the reviewers:-

1- The work and references of previous reports has been highlighted and a discussion of the apparent current practice is compared with that previously reported.(page 7, third paragraph and references 11,12 and 13)

2 - Minor essential revisions such as missing labels on figures, the wrong use of a term, spelling and format errors been corrected.

3- The study of residronate that was wrongly quoted in the discussion has been corrected and quoted in % reduction expression rather than the difference in incidence. (Page 8, second paragraph)

4- The advice to receive preventive treatment whatever the result of bone densitometry has been raised. (Page 8, second paragraph)

We hope that is now acceptable

Kind Regards.