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Reviewer's report:

General

The authors have responded satisfactorily to the reviewers' comments. Except for a few grammatical errors, the paper reads well and is interesting. I think the paper is now ready for publication.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

In Table 4, the asterisks (*) and **) should appear in the footnotes.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No
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