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Reviewer's report:

General

By and large, most to the points raised in review have been addressed.

------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None

------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

The results section remains rather long, full of figures and therefore difficult for the reader to extract the key points. The use of an additional table to display some of the data in the text would be helpful

------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

none

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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