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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editorial Production Team,

Herewith we provide the suggested changes after final revision. 
1. To the suggestion for using the wording "guidelines" in couple of places we would like to clarify that 'guideline' in modern usage means 'an indication or outline of policy or conduct' (Webster's Dictionary), and 'something that helps you to form an opinion or make a decision' (Oxford) which would translate to mean a set of rules in either US or UK English. Technically it can be said 'a guideline of guidelines.' Basically it's a semantic question. So while we don't think that the use of guideline in the singular in this paper is incorrect. 
2. Needed cropping procedure of figures has been made. 
3. We have made corrections in the reference naming all authors instead of et al.

Kind regards
Anneli Ratsep