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Reviewer's report:

General
I am satisfied with the responses of the authors to my comments re using the term 'integration' and also the clarification of the types of conditions seen even though I would like to know why more musculo-skeletal presentations in one group over the other. Lessons learnt from this paper will show health bureaucrats that no cost savings will occur in ER if they don't change personnel and structure.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
NIL

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
NIL

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
check over use of terms such as minor 'traumata' not sure if error in spelling or wrong term. I am not in a position to correct authors' use of English terms as it may be of common use in EU countries

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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