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Reviewer's report:

General

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
I have no major concerns with the methods. However, the paper is not particularly well written -- input from a good copy editor is needed to improve clarity, flow and to tighten.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
Review and edit for English usage. Review references for accuracy -- some appear misplaced.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

Statistical review: No
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