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Reviewer's report:

General
The authors have done an excellent job responding to the questions raised in my earlier review. In my opinion the manuscript now reads very well, with adequate explanations for terms and approaches used. I understand the methods well now and find them reasonable.

-----------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-----------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-----------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
The Discussion section is rather long and rambling. The reader would be able to identify and remember the most important points if the text were tightened and the principal findings and implications addressed first in the Discussion.

-----------------------------------------------

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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