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General

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Table 1 - compare results for study base and responders statistically - there are some wide differences in age, eg the responders appear older - may have implications in terms of characteristics and predisposition - eg to greater satisfaction.
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Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
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Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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Did expectation, patient satisfaction, performance scale and high education not make the final model in Table 8 because of loss of significance?