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To
The Editorial Team,

MS: 1974940804572180 – General practitioners’ conceptions about treatment of depression and factors that may influence their practice in this area. A postal survey

Thanks for your letter from April the 27th and the reviewer’s report! We are happy that you are ready to accept our manuscript for publication in BMC Family Practice.

According to the requested formatting changes and the reviewer’s suggestions for re-wording the written English, we have again reconsidered our manuscript and we now think it is ready for publication.

The new changes are:

1. The full stop at the end of the title is removed.
2. Equal contributors are indicated on the title page.
3. In Abstract the Objective section is removed and integrated into Background.
4. The Keywords section is removed from the manuscript.
5. Page 3: ‘Introduction’ is renamed to ‘Background’.
6. The blank page 24 is removed.
7. Figures are cropped according to your cropping guide.
8. The tables are renamed to standard numbers. Our references to the tables in the text are renamed in the same way.
9. Additional files: The figure files are listed at the end of the manuscript with the file terms, the file format, and the titles of the data. There are also descriptions of the data.
10. P. 2, line 4: “its treatment” is changed to “their treatment” and “their ideas” is changed to “GPs’ ideas”.
11. P. 2, line 11: “regarded essential” is changed to “regarded as essential” and “To model the work” is changed to “As influences on their work”.
12. P. 3, line 3: “patients account directly” is changed to “patients consult directly”.
13. P. 3: line 10: “GPs conceptions” is changed to “GPs’ conceptions”.
   Line 12: “variation of” is changed to “variation in”.
   Line 17: “found week” is changed to “found weak” and “local GPs conceptions” to “local GPs’ conceptions”.
14. P. 4: line 9: “ADs the year 2000” is changed to “ADs for the year 2000”.
   Line 19: “five degree Likert scale” is changed to “five-point Likert scale”.
   Line 22: “answered to all” is changed to “answered all”.
   Line 23: “the final questionnaire was appointed” is changed to “the questionnaire was finalized”.

15. P. 5: line 2: “The survey was performed” is changed to “The survey was carried out” and “envelop” to “envelope”.
   Line 4: “included municipalities” is changed to “selected municipalities”.
   Line 9: “present analyse” to “present analysis”.
   Line 23: “large importance” is changed to “great importance”.

16. P. 6: line 6: “obsessive disorders” is changed to “obsessive-compulsive disorders”.
   Line 7: “reliance to ADs” is changed to “reliance on ADs”.
   Line 22: “regarded to meet high standards” is changed to “regarded as meeting high standards”.

17. P. 7, line 4: “provide them the necessary” is changed to “provide them with the necessary”.

18. P. 8, line 9: “All together” is changed to “Altogether”.
   Line 10: “representivity” is changed to “representativeness”.

19. P. 9, line 4: “guiding” is changed to “guidance”.
   Line 7: “is dependant” is changed to “is dependent”.
   Line 23: “they also marked” is changed to “they also indicated”.

20. P. 10, line 2: “had impact” is changed to “had an impact”.
   Line 4: “companies activities” is changed to “companies’ activities”.
   Line 10: “not quite for” is changed to “not quite clear for”
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With best regards,
Stig Andersson