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Reviewer's report:

General

This manuscript reports a simple questionnaires study which investigated the dissemination of information to general practitioners regarding the Neonatal Hearing Screening Programme. The manuscript is clear to understand and it is generally well written.

-----------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None.

-----------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

None.

-----------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

In my opinion the response rate to this questionnaire survey was poor (even for GPs). More explanation should be given regarding why a reminder questionnaire was not send out to the sample. Was this a question of funding? It is the norm in questionnaire surveys to have up to three reminder questionnaires. I also think the response rate should be included in the abstract.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No

Declaration of competing interests:

None