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Reviewer’s report:

General
This is a simple and well conducted study which examined the satisfaction of subjects who wore a 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitor (ABPM). Methodology is accurate and the article is well written.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

Minor Compulsory Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Among indications to ABPM, is not clear what the authors mean for ‘evaluation of borderline hypertension’. Does it mean ‘stage I hypertension’? Did such indication differ from ‘suspected white-coat hypertension’ (i.e., another indication mostly referred to subjects with ‘mild’, or ‘stage I’, or ‘borderline’ hypertension) in that white-coat hypertension was not suspected in the former case despite the ‘borderline’ hypertension?


Advice on publication: Accept after minor compulsory revisions

Level of interest: A paper whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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