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Dear Clare,
Thank for your previous communication. I have to thank for all of the valuable comments and suggestions from the editorial team and the reviewers. I have already appreciated all the comments and have revised my manuscript according to them. A point by point response to the reviewers’ comments, along with a summary of the changes I have made, are listed as the following

A. response to Dr William Petri

1. The amebic liver abscesses were diagnosed by 1) the identification of E. histolytica by microscopic examination of the pus (n = 28) or 2) the positive results from E. histolytica indirect hemagglutination (IHA) test (n = 34).
   This sentence is in the first paragraph of the METHODS AND MATERIALS

2. The lack of male predominance in HIV seropositive patients is of note but this was not significantly different from the patients with HIV seronegative (Proportional Z test, P > 0.05).
   This sentence is in the third paragraph of the DISCUSSION

3. Due to the fact that there has been limited resource in Thailand, the determination of CD4 count and viral load is not the routine tests for the HIV+ patients. In this study, no data on the two tests of the patients is available, therefore, I cannot present the correlation of the CD4 count or viral load to the clinical presentations in this retrospective study.

B. response to Dr Cicilia Ximenez

I have already had the MS English edited according to the suggestion.

Those are all of my response. Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Viroj Wiwanitkit, M.D.