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Editor-in-Chief
BMC Family Practice Journal

Dear Editor-in-Chief,

Re: MS: 1546115438104191 Study protocol

Examining the efficacy of a support algorithm for commencing patients with type 2 diabetes on a basal / prandial insulin regimen in the primary care setting with retrospective continuous glucose monitoring as an adjunct: INITIATION STUDY PROTOCOL

Irene D Blackberry, John S Furler, Louise Ginnivan, Hanan Derraz, Alicia Jenkins, Neale Cohen, James D Best, Doris Young, Danny Liew, Glenn Ward, Jo-Anne Manski-Nankervis and David N O’Neal

BMC Family Practice (Section: Clinical presentation, diagnosis, and management )

Thank you for considering our manuscript and for raising the issue that we need to clarify in our paper. Please find below our response to the issue raised.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue raised</th>
<th>Our Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dear Prof. Blackberry, Thank you for your recent submission to BMC Family Practice. Before we can proceed with peer review we will need you to make some changes to the formatting of your manuscript. We strongly urge you to make these changes promptly, as we cannot start the peer review process until we have received a version containing the changes. Editorial requests: Please clarify if your protocol was independently peer-reviewed by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Centre of Clinical Research Excellence (CCRE) in Diabetes Science; if it was, please provide proof of this.</td>
<td>We have enclosed with our re-submission a copy of the letter from the NHMRC CCRE in Diabetes Science, Sanofi and Medtronic as requested. Our investigators initiated study proposal was peer-reviewed independently by each of these organisation and pharmaceutical companies. We have added the following sentence in the acknowledgement section of the revised manuscript to clarify this (page 14, line 4-5): The INITIATION study grant proposal was independently peer-reviewed by the NHMRC CCRE in Diabetes Science, Sanofi and Medtronic.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thank you again for considering our manuscript. Please do not hesitate to contact me for further information regarding our submission. We look forward to hearing back from you.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Irene D Blackberry
General Practice and Primary Health Care Academic Centre
The University of Melbourne, Australia
Email: i.blackberry@unimelb.edu.au