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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editors,

Re: Finding a BETTER way: A Qualitative Study of the Building on Existing Tools to Improve Chronic Disease Prevention and Screening in Family Practice

We appreciate the reviewers’ excellent comments and your consideration of this paper for publication. We address the reviewers’ comments below point by point and in the edited manuscript:

REVIEWER #1

1. We deeply appreciate this reviewer’s excellent attention to detail! Two author’s names were reversed with their last names first on the author’s details. This has been corrected (Michelle Greiver and Ginette Salvalaggio).

2. Page 12 -1st sentence under rigor – the reviewer suggested using qualitative language for validity and credibility so we have changed the language as suggested to credibility and consistency or transferability.

3. Page 21 last paragraph 3rd sentence has been reworded to “It is important to address CDPS in socially disadvantaged populations since the prevalence of chronic disease is higher than the general population.” We have replaced “those groups” with “than the general population” to avoid the perception of stigmatizing those groups.

Sincerely,