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**Reviewer's report:**

The paper is much improved and the authors have attended to most issues except one I detail below.

**Minor Essential Revisions**

Whilst the study is not a systematic review, the authors did perform what they called a systematic search. The use of a PRISMA flow diagram is therefore still appropriate and should be included. The narrative approach is appropriate to the analysis of the results of the overall screening process and is a way to ‘describe’ the included literature.
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