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Reviewer's report:

Discretionary Revisions
1. Line 93 - I feel it would be helpful to state why the other two domains of LAP were omitted. Physical Examination is self explanatory. Record keeping omission is also understandable but in lines 88-90 you do specific that GPs were invited to make clinical notes and outline any management plan.
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Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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