The paper describes the process of developing a survey to assess differences in primary care as it relates to cancer diagnosis amongst 11 jurisdictions.

This paper does not provide any data but is merely focusing on the process of developing a robust and valid survey. The major question is if this paper has any generalizability and the value for the research community.

The narrative of the process in itself could be of some, but only minor interest. I recommend the authors to later come back with a paper at least with some data findings for example how the items are inter-correlated and measurement of validity not only face validity which is rather weak.
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