Author’s response to reviews

Title: The predictors of glucose screening: the contribution of risk perception

Authors:

PILAR LAVIELLE (lavielle.pilar@gmail.com)
NIELS WACHER (nwacher@hotmail.com)

Version: 4 Date: 23 May 2014

Author’s response to reviews: see over
May, 21st, 2014

Dr Christopher Foote
Executive Editor

Attached, please find the modified version of our manuscript “The predictors of glucose screening: the contribution of risk perception” which includes the correction suggested by the editor.

We hope this correction will clarify the different concepts in the text, and that the resulting new version is suitable for publication in your journal.

Truly yours

Dra. Pilar Lavielle Sotomayor
Unidad de Epidemiología Clínica
CMN Siglo XXI, IMSS

Editorial comment:
Please clarify whether written informed consent to carry out interviews was obtained from the participants and to state this in the methods of your manuscript.

All individuals gave informed consent. The ethics committee considered this protocol as without risk (minimal or less than minimal), and a special form was issued to be read to every individual before asking for his/her verbal consent. This in accordance with the “Título V, Investigación en seres humanos, Ley General de Salud” (Title V, Research on Human Beings, General Health Law) applicable in the country. Text has been amended in the Methods Section to reflect this fact.