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To Dr Christopher Foote,

________________________________________

Article title: **Rationale, design and organization of the Delayed Antibiotic Prescription (DAP) trial: a randomized controlled trial of the efficacy and safety of delayed antibiotic prescribing strategies in the non-complicated acute respiratory tract infections in general practice.**
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On behalf of the rest of co-authors I will like to thank you for your interest in our paper. Below you will find our answer about editorial request.

Thanks for considering,

Dr. Pablo Alonso.
Editorial requests:

1) Can you please state in a revised manuscript the name of the main ethics committee that approved your study (which I assume from your proofs is the IDIAP Jordi Gol i Gurina)?

Reply:
• In the “Ethical issues” section of the new version’s manuscript (p.15), we clarify that: “the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Clinical Investigation IDIAP Jordi Gol i Gurina and by the Ethical Committees of Clinical Research in the corresponding health care areas”.

2) Can you please clarify for us if your study protocol was externally peer reviewed by your funding body?

Reply:
• Our project was peer review by the funding agency. The protocol has exactly the same features as the original project. Furthermore, the project has been peer reviewed and approved by the Spanish Drug Agency and several Ethics Committees.