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**Reviewer’s report:**

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this manuscript.

This paper represents significant contributions to better understanding of using information to support coordination in primary health care models.

This study examined the use of information to support coordination in four different Australian primary health care models but contributed to knowledge and understanding of coordination in primary health services globally.

**Minor Essential Revisions**

1. Please, equalize the aims of the study in the Abstract with the aims defined at the end of the section Background in the manuscript

2. Background, Paragraph 1

   Please, specify the position of healthcare professionals involved in the survey in health care system in Australia

3. Please, in the section Findings clear describe the major advantages of each Cases analyzed in the manuscript which can be recommended for the improvement of the coordination in primary health services globally.

**Discretionary Revisions**

1. The authors can reduce the text of the section Background about continuity of care because they cite the references

2. Relatively small sample size, but authors defined that as a limitation of the study

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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