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Author’s response to reviews:

1. ETHICS: Please specify the specific name and affiliation of the Dutch medical ethical committee that granted approval for the study.

In the Netherlands there is no legal reason to ask permission by a medical ethical committee for a survey among healthcare providers. We therefore choose the general formulation. If you think this is confusing, we can skip the last sentence of this paragraph.

2. CONSENT: Please insert a specific statement of consent from all healthcare professionals to participate in the study.

The general practices participated voluntarily.

3. Please include an AUTHOR’S CONTRIBUTION section before the Acknowledgements and Reference list.

Done

4. Acknowledgements

Done

5. Please include a 'COMPETING INTERESTS' section between the Conclusions and Authors’ contributions. If there are none to declare, please write 'The authors declare that they have no competing interests'. Please check the instructions for authors on the journal website for a full list of questions to consider when writing your competing interests statement.

Done