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Reviewer’s report:

Discretionary Revisions:
The authors ask well defined question however the methods section needs to be more precise.
A study flow diagram might be helpful in understanding the methods further.
Professional distribution of participants seems representative of the sector. Table 1 can be added to an appendix and explanation for table 2 can suffice.

Major Compulsory Revisions:
Some results do not fit authors’ explanations: e.g. “Intervention fit with the social political context” (score of 7 7 8 ) and “intervention’s fit with PHC organizations’ and professionals’ objectives”” (score of 8 7 7 ) score the same then why is later in the Round 2 of survey and not the first one?
In table 2 it is unclear why under the heading “stage” only certain stage is noted e.g. For “Interventions’ sustainability” all 3 stages score 8 , only A and C is included. This is inconsistent with the methodology that is described. This inconsistency is present for other items as well.
The discussion includes all relevant points.
Authors need to resolve inconsistency from the article before a decision on publication is reached.
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