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**Reviewer's report:**

The background is adequate. The methods section ought to be shortened, this includes both types of participants, settings and information sources, search strategy, assessment of methodological quality, data extraction and data analysis and synthesis. Some of the data could be put as supplemental files.

In this way it would be space for the table that presents the including studies. This would make the result section easier to follow. Table 1- Medline search and figure 1 could be supplementary instead of the important table on included studies.

In result it is stated that 20 out of 30 papers describes factors facilitating collaborative practice. There were 14 qualitative studies and 2 using mixed methods design. The other 2, where do they come from? Table 2 does not state how many studies the data were taken from.

It would be interesting to see a table with the extracted experiences and views of collaboration and differences/similarities between NPs and MPs. It is somewhat difficult to follow the text and this is very interesting.
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