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Reviewer’s report:

Overall a well presented paper that will be of interest to the reader.

Discretionary revisions:
1. The background section was a little confused particularly the explanation of the basis of pragmatic rehabilitation. I suggest rewording
2. Whilst the clinical study is reported elsewhere more explanation/description of TAU would have been useful
3. Table 1 should be in the methods section
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