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Reviewer’s report:

Discretionary revisions:
The authors have addressed most of the comments of my previous review. However I do not agree with their comments about their reference for the statement: “and address the relative paucity of studies in the medical education literature on the patients’ perspective [2].”
The paper referenced: (Prystowsky JB, Bordage G. An outcomes research perspective on medical education: the predominance of trainee assessment and satisfaction. Med Educ 2001;35:331-336) is explicit about patient outcomes, not viewpoints, as being lacking in medical education research. I do not feel that this paper addresses the lack that Prytowsky and Bordages outlined in their paper.
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