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Reviewer's report:

This is a much improved draft and the authors have made much effort to address the concerns raised in previous reviews.

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
Yes, the revised draft addresses the comments raised in the previous review. The description of the objectives is much clearer in the paper than in the abstract. If word limits allow, I suggest that the abstract be re-worked to mirror the text in the introduction. This is a discretionary revision.

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
Yes, the revision addresses previously raised concerns.

3. Are the data sound?
Yes

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
Yes

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
Yes although it would be stronger if there was a line in the conclusion relating to what can be learned from admin data to support HR planning. This is a minor, discretionary revision

6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
Yes

7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
Yes

8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
Yes
9. Is the writing acceptable?
Yes

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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