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**Reviewer’s report:**

This is a well written paper, which focuses on the issue of coding procedures in primary care using the new SNOMED-CT and KVA coding systems.

I only have some minor discretionary comments:

In the methods section, it might be helpful to present one example of how the codes from the different coding systems were mapped to procedures – perhaps in a graphical way.

Can the authors comment on the generalizability of their work to health care systems in other countries?

It might be useful if all the procedures that were matched to SNOMED-CT and KVA codes were included as a appendix – this might be useful to international readers proposing to carry out similar work.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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