The paper explores the views of Dutch stakeholders on achieving a biopsychosocial approach to chronic care. The paper is well written and the topic is interesting for primary care in general.

I have some recommendations for improving the paper:

Background
Reading the background the reader gets the impression that there is hardly any psychosocial care in the Netherlands, which I believe is not right. There are health care teams, specialised nurses etc. It would be good to learn more about important aspects of the Dutch primary health care system supporting or impeding biopsychosocial care and to what extent biopsychosocial care is provided systematically.

Methods
Why were only parts of the interviews transcribed and analyzed? It should be assured that nothing was missed.

Conclusions (Abstract and main text)
“All key players in this field need to break through the barrier of disease-oriented biomedical care.” This is not a conclusion that results from the research findings. It rather appears as a political agenda. I suggest to drop this sentence.

A general remark:
Reading the discussion and the conclusions the reader is confronted with a huge action plan for the next decades. I was wondering if the authors also got some more specific ideas how to proceed, specific ideas based on the characteristics of the Dutch health care system supporting or impeding biopsychosocial care (which should be described in the background).

Page 14, “this is beyond by professional competence”… “my” instead of “by”? 

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a
statistician.
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