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Author's response to reviews:

The following changes - in addition to the requested ones - have been made:

1. Introduction, first paragraph:
   In other studies, serious causes of dizziness were not overlooked.
   instead of
   In other studies, serious causes of dizziness had not been overlooked.

2. Results, page 8:
   A patient’s perception that the GP would be unable to help with respect to their dizziness (“How much can your doctor help you in terms of your dizziness?”) at T0, was also associated with...
   instead of
   A patient’s perception that their GP would be unable to help with respect to their dizziness...

3. page 8/10:
   intraindividual
   instead
   of intra-individual.
   (Is this correct?)

4. page 8:
   follow-up instead of follow up

The following aspects have been added:

1. page 8
   "...Considering the patients' needs (DiNA)...
   

"(DiNA)" has been added.
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