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Reviewer's report:

Reviewer’s comments on the article “General practitioners’ experience and benefits from patient evaluations – 2:nd review”

The article has improved substantially since the last reading – especially the Discussion part. The author has taken most of my comments into consideration. I still have some “Discretionary Revisions” that need to be considered:

1. It is still difficult to understand what a “nested study” is, please explain this further.

2. The issue of generalization needs to be further elaborated in the Discussion part.

3. Due to the large number of hypotheses-tests there is a substantial risk of type-1 errors. This problem is touched on in the limitation part but still not sufficiently discussed.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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