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Reviewer's report:

The article has improved considerably - shortcomings of the review are described clearly now.

I agree with the other reviewers on the lack of "quality analysis of included studies". As the inclusion and exclusion criteria were described quite clear this is a a minor revision in my point of view.

Discretionary revisions

The discussion should include a recently published metaanalysis in BMCFamPract on the same topic (Giessen et al 2010)

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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