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**Reviewer's report:**

The paper is interesting. There are points to be considered

1) This is a systematic review paper, quality assessment of the included studies is an important component of the methods. The authors did not have this in the method part. The quality assessment results for each included study will also be needed in the result part.

2) There are many clinical outcomes presented in the results. The authors should present clearly number of included studies and subjects for individual outcomes. This information is not available in the results.

3) The authors should provide setting and country of each included study in Table2. Column 4 of the table should name as 'tests and reference'.

4) The authors should label figure 2, 3 and 4 what the two colors on each bar are.

5) The authors should not present results in the discussion part. Please consider paragraph 6 of the discussion. It should be in the results.
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