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Dear Editor:

We have proceeded to change the last format recommendations for the manuscript.

**Major revisions**

References: Please make sure references are cited in numerical order. **Done!**.

**The consecutive references appear first in:**

- references 1 to 13 in 2th paragraph of Background section
- references 14 to 16 in 3th paragraph of Background section
- references 17 to 18 in 4th paragraph of Background section
- references 19 to 28 in table 2, 1th paragraph of Method section
- reference 29 in 4th paragraph of Method section
- references 30 to 31 in 5th paragraph of Method section
- references 32 to 92 in figure 1 refereed in 2th paragraph of Results section
- reference 93 in 3th paragraph of Discussion section
- references 94 to 97 in 5th paragraph of Discussion section
- references 98 to 99 in 6th paragraph of Discussion section
- references 100 to 106 in 7th paragraph of Discussion section

**Minor revisions** (we can make these changes for you, although it will speed up publication of your manuscript if you do them while making the major changes above)

- Tables: please remove the visible vertical lines from your tables: **Done!** (in tables 1 and 4)
- Figures: It is important for the final layout of the manuscript that the figures are cropped as closely as possible to minimise white space around the image. It is important for the final layout of the manuscript that the figures are in the correct orientation. **Done!**. We have changed in figures 1 to 4 their format file.

Sincerely

David Medina-Bombardó
Antoni J. Jover-Palmer