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Comment 10 Were the GPs included in the study representative of the population in terms of demographics.

Table 1 summarises the characteristics of the participating doctors only. It does not compare with modal class age / gender divide, practice size / contractual status with national figures, comparison with this data is what I was suggesting be added to show that the GPs in the current study mirrored demographics nationally

Table 1 error in gender numbers - only 20 GPs accounted for

Page 8 line 6, need comma after exclusion
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