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Reviewer’s report:

This revised article is much better.

It would be useful to include the interview schedule (as a text box perhaps) so that readers can see the topic guide, detailed questions, and 'specific prompts' mentioned.

page 7. I do not know what is meant by 'blood-diluting drug'. Occasionally lay people refer to 'blood-thinners' but I suspect they mean an anti-platelet? or perhaps just aspirin?

I do not understand the last sentence of the article (which is also the last sentence of the abstract). What is meant here by facilitator? and what 'perceptions' is the sentence referring to.

There are still some spelling (polypharmacy not polyfarmacy) and grammatical errors. eg should be GPs' views (becuase the apostrophe denotes that the views belong to the GPs), although the authors have now removed the unnecessary apostrophes.

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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