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Reviewer's report:

Discretionary Revisions
The authors do address an important issue – gut feeling in general practice. The manuscript is well written, but do suffer from a few weaknesses.
The use of Delphi-rounds is indeed popular, but the authors should in depth discuss:
Why they chose to include only opinion-leaders and experts, who were working at universities. What does that procedure mean for the validity and generalizability of the results? Further, the discussion could be elaborated with a short discussion of the use of and trustworthiness of Delphi round methods.
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