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To
Editor-in-Chief
BMC etc…..

Comments to reviews concerning the manuscript: MS: 9884557372579098 –
(Multicultural appearances of depression –a challenge for the general practitioner: a qualitative interview)

Thank you for the new commentaries from you and the reviewer. We have commented on the suggestions proposed and have made some slight revisions of the manuscript accordingly. We have also gone through the formatting checklist and hopefully it is now acceptable for publication in your journal.

Response to the Reviewer

1. The title does not reflect the content of the paper: 'recognition of depression in people of different cultures: a qualitative study' might be more accurate.

Comment: We have reconsidered the suggestion of a new headline by the reviewer (for a second time) and we realize that the alternative heading proposed might be better.
Measure: We have changed the headline accordingly.

2. …there are a number of typographical errors which need correcting.
Comment: The manuscript has been corrected by a native English speaking person (authorized translator) and we have gone through the formatting checklist.
Measure: Some slight changes have been done.

3. I would suggest that the authors revisit the structure of the results and discussion and ensure that the Discussion section does not introduce new material not backed up by data in the Results section.
Comment: We commented on this suggestion in the previous answer to the Editor as the Reviewer at that time had proposed changes. In the first revised manuscript we also made some slight changes in the structure of the Result and Discussion sections. However we argued that the boundary line in qualitative studies between Result and Discussion parts are difficult to uphold and we think that we have already made some changes in line with the Reviewer’s recommendations. A further restructuring of the existing arrangement would not benefit in the end.
Measure: No changes are made.