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Reviewer's report:

General
The discussion of the paper has improved. Most of my comments are incorporated satisfactorily. Two points remain. See under

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Although the author should be trusted to correct, reference 34 refers still to the guideline depression of 1994 instead of 2000, as the reference states.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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