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Reviewer's report:

The authors have been very thorough in their response to comments from all the referees and the paper is greatly improved. Given that one other referee commented on the approach to the regression analyses and issues of confounding and interactions, I think that the authors should include a sample size estimate. It is important that they should have had an a priori hypothesis and estimated the type of size of effect that they could study with their study sample size. The issue of confounding is very important. The fact that some interactions were non-significant may in fact be a function of the sample size and number of variables included.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

'I declare that I have no competing interests'