Reviewer’s report

Title: A qualitative study of patients’ views on quality of primary care consultations in Hong Kong

Version: 3 Date: 2 November 2008

Reviewer: Peter Harris

Reviewer’s report:

The authors have reviewed this paper and addressed the principle concerns that were raised about the text. The task of the paper is now much clearer, with the role of the CARE measure more integrated to the method. The “Additional themes” are more fully addressed and the nature of the sample is explicit.

There are a few typos on page 6 and the section following the heading “Time” on page 17 does not make sense as it is written, although I feel I gathered the message.

The issue of the difference between the health systems is introduced briefly in the discussion.

With the corrections suggested above I recommend publication

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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