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Dear Editor

Thank you for this second review of our paper. We detail our response below.

Reviewer 1 (Tom Blakeman)
1. We have responded to the request for further analysis and have added extra detail on key categories as follows: under ‘Connecting and Communicating’ theme, page 9, on ‘telling story and listening’; under ‘Assessing holistically’ (top of page 11); ‘Responding with Care’, paragraph 1, page 12; ‘Physical examination’, page 16; and we have also added to the theme ‘Context’ on page 18 adding to the section on consultation length and adding a new heading on continuity of care. We hope these new additions to the paper help to answer the queries posed by the reviewer.

2. We have also taken on board the discretionary revision (page 12) and reworded as suggested.

Reviewer 2 (Peter Harris)
1. We have fixed the typos and also re-written the section under ‘Time’ to make it clearer what is meant.

We do hope this paper is now judged to be of a satisfactory standard to be accepted by your journal.

Many thanks

Yours sincerely

Stewart Mercer
Colman Fung