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**Reviewer’s report:**

A multidisciplinary systematic literature review on frailty: Overview of the methodology used by the Canadian Initiative on Frailty and Aging (second version)

The paper has been improved, although the response to the reviews is rather cryptic. However, I have still some comments.

I prefer to also see the specific research objectives integrated in the Introduction section of the paper, not only in a Table. That is more common, and what future readers are going to expect.

Papers included until 2005: I understand the complexity, but would it be not possible to update the materials in one or another way?

Both reviewers recommend to include implications. I could be of interest for future readers if the authors could elaborate this some more than now has been done.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.