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**Reviewer's report:**

The authors have responded carefully to each of the points in my previous review and incorporated, where appropriate, into the revised manuscript. My only remaining comment, which I will leave to the discretion of the author is that performance of the GP (as recalled) and the flow of the consultation are not two separate things and that some comment on the flow would be worth making in the discussion especially as two paragraphs in the introduction are devoted to the issue of switching between multiple tasks during the consultation.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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